

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/603,698	06/26/2003	Nadia Gardel	05725.1213-00	8001
75	90 07/03/2006	EXAMINER		
Thomas L. Irv		WILLIAMS, LEONARD M		
-	ENDERSON, FARABOV JUNNER, L.L.P.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
1300 I Street, N	.W.	1617		
Washington, D	C 20005-3315	DATE MAILED: 07/03/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Applica	Application No. Applicant(s)				
		10/603	,698	GARDEL ET AL.			
		Examin	er	Art Unit			
		Leonard	M. Williams	1617			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communica r Reply	ition appears on t	he cover sheet with ti	he correspondence ad	dress		
WHIC - Exten after: - If NO - Failur Any fo	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAI is is ons of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutive to reply within the set or extended period for reply will eply received by the Office later than three months after the digital patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ication. ory period will apply and I, by statute, cause the a	THIS COMMUNICAT event, however, may a reply to will expire SIX (6) MONTHS pplication to become ABAND	TION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this of ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
2a) 🗌	·	☐ This action is	non-final.				
<u>-</u>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)	Claim(s) 1-99 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) 1-99 are subject to restriction	withdrawn from o					
Application	on Papers						
9) 🔲 -	The specification is objected to by the E	Examiner.					
10) 🗌 -	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or	b) objected to by t	he Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection	on to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including th The oath or declaration is objected to b	•		-	• •		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority do 2. Certified copies of the priority do 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the International ee the attached detailed Office action for the certified copies of the certified copies of application from the International ee the attached detailed Office action for the certified copies of the certified copies of application from the International the certified copies of the priority do	ocuments have be ocuments have be the priority docur Il Bureau (PCT R	een received. een received in Appli ments have been rec ule 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National	Stage		
Attachment	` '		, –	(DTD // T			
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT No(s)/Mail Date	•	4) Interview Sumn Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:		O-152)		

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-12, 18-95 and 99, drawn to a foundation in the form of a water-oil emulsion (formula I), classified in class 424, subclass 401.
- II. Claims 1, 13-15, 18-95 and 99, drawn to a foundation in the form of a water-oil emulsion (formula II), classified in class 424, subclass 70.12.
- III. Claims 1, 16, 18-95 and 99, drawn to a foundation in the form of a wateroil emulsion (formula III), classified in class 424, subclass 49.
- IV. Claims 1, 17-95 and 99, drawn to a foundation in the form of a water-oil emulsion (formula IV), classified in class 424, subclass 70.12.
- V. Claim 96, drawn to a cosmetic process, classified in class 424, subclass
 401
- VI. Claims 97-98, drawn to a process of making a foundation composition, classified in class 424, subclass 70.1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I-IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown t/hat they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are drawn to using differing compounds chosen from formulas I-IV. These compounds are distinct dimethicone copolyol containing moieties with different functional properties and activities. It is important to ote that

Art Unit: 1617

small changes in small molecules can illict drastic changes in properties. For example the change in a chiral center in ibuprofen makes the compound nearly inactive as an anti-inflammatory, further when high molecular weight copolyols possess differing functional moieties one would expect the copolyols properties to be different.

Inventions I-IV and V are related as products and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the cosmetic process of the application of make up to the skin can be accomplished in a variety of ways and with a plurality of compounds that do not contain dimethicone copolyols, such as by direct contact of an oil-in-water emulsion in a cream formulation comprising lanolin, water, and AMPs surfactants. Further the dimethicone copolyols can be used in non-cosmetic formulations such as pharmaceutical preparations and industrial additives.

Inventions I-V and VI are related as product and process of making. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for making the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case Inventions I-IV are drawn to cosmetic formulations comprising various dimethicone copolyols.

Art Unit: 1617

make-up to the skin. As detailed above there are many ways to apply make-up formulations and further to make the formulations applied. The process of making as claimed does not have to be limited to the making of cosmetic formulations, as detailed above it could be used in the making of pharmaceutical compositions.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims

Art Unit: 1617

and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy. Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.

Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Due to the complexity of the restriction no phone call was made.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leonard M. Williams whose telephone number is 571-272-0685. The examiner can normally be reached on MF 9-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreeni Padmanabhan can be reached on 571-272-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/603,698

Art Unit: 1617

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

LMW

SREENI PADMANABHAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 6